

United States Patent and Trademark Office

(W)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,170	09/24/2003	Nathaniel W. Diedrich	48-1002	1347
36163 PLUMSEA L <i>A</i>	7590 04/20/2007 AW GROUP, LLC		EXAMINER	
10411 MOTO	R CITY DRIVE		NGUYEN, TUAN HOANG	
SUITE 320 BETHESDA, MD 20817			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	-
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/668,170	DIEDRICH ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	Tuan H. Nguyen	2618	

		2010		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply n	ffidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejection.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amoun chortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ginally set in the final Office action; or (2) a		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see N0 w);	OTE below);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowance because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).			
13. Other:		•		
NAY MAUNG 0		Tuan H. Nguyen		
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAI	MINER	AU 2618 571-272-8329		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly proposed claimed languages raise new issue that would require further consideration and/or search...